

# Planning Team Report

ì

Proposal Title :	Boundary Adjustments and spl	it zones			
		30			
Proposal Summary :		The planning proposal seeks to enable boundary adjustments where the resulting lots are smaller than the minimum lot size, and provide for the subdivision of land with a split zone.			
PP Number :	PP_2015_BEGAV_007_00	Dop File No	15/12809		
Proposal Details					
Date Planning Proposal Received :	16-Sep-2015	LGA covered :	Bega Valley		
Region :	Southern	RPA :	Bega Valley Shire Council		
State Electorate :	BEGA	Section of the Act :	55 - Planning Proposal		
LEP Type :	Policy				
Location Details			v ×		
Street :					
Suburb :	City :		Postcode :		
Land Parcel : LG	A wide		21.		
DoP Planning Office	cer Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Ann Martin				
Contact Number :	0242249466				
Contact Email :	ann.martin@planning.nsw.gov.a	u			
RPA Contact Details					
Contact Name :	Rob Quick				
Contact Number :	0264992117				
Contact Email :	rquick@begavalley.nsw.gov.au				
DoP Project Manag	ger Contact Details				
Contact Name :	Graham Towers				
Contact Number :	0242249467				
Contact Email :	graham.towers@planning.nsw.g	ov.au			
Land Release Data	<b>i</b> ×				
Growth Centre :	N/A	Release Area Name :	N/A		
Regional / Sub Regional Strategy :	South Coast Regional Strategy	Consistent with Strategy :	Yes		

Boundary Adjustment	s and split zones		
MDP Number :		Date of Release :	
Area of Release (Ha) :		Type of Release (eg Residential / Employment land) :	N/A
No. of Lots	0	No <sub>+</sub> of Dwellings (where relevant) :	5
Gross Floor Area:	0	No of Jobs Created	0
The NSW Government Lobbyists Code of Conduct has been complied with : If No, comment :	Yes		
n no, comment.			
Have there been meetings or communications with registered lobbyists? :	No		
If Yes, comment			
Supporting notes			
Internal Supporting Notes :			
External Supporting Notes :	The State <b>and</b> Environmental Planning Policy (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 enables boundary adjustments to be undertaken as exempt development but these cannot result in any lots being created that are smaller than the applicable minimum lot size. The planning proposal seeks to provide Council flexibility in considering applications for boundary adjustments where a lot is created that is below the minimum lot size for the zone. The proposed boundary adjustments clause is based on clauses that have been made in other council LEPs.		
	Under the current provisions of t subdivision of land unless each In some areas there are lots part the minimum lot size for rural lar subdivision on land having split lot size required for subdivision.	lot meets the minimum lot ly zoned rural/environmen nd is 120 hectares. The pla	size requirements for that zone. tal and partly urban. Currently nning proposal seeks to enable
Adequacy Assessmen	t		2
Statement of the ob	jectives - s55(2)(a)		
Is a statement of the ob	ojectives provided? Yes		
Comment :	The statement of objectives is	s to:	
	1. Enable boundary adjustmen size to provide greater opport		s are smaller than the minimum lot tives of the zone.
	2. Provide for the subdivision and development.	of land with a split zone th	nat promotes suitable land uses
	It is considered that the state	ment of the objectives is a	dequate.

## Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment :

The explanation of provisions are as follows:

This planning proposal will amend BVLEP 2013 in the following manner:

Insert the following new provisions;

4.2E Exceptions to minimum subdivision lot size for boundary adjustments

(1) The objective of this clause is to permit the boundary between 2 or more lots to be altered in certain circumstances, to give landowners a greater opportunity to achieve the objectives of a zone.

(2) This clause applies to all land within the Bega Valley Local Government Area.

(3) Despite clause 4.1(3), development consent may be granted to the subdivision of 2 or more adjoining lots, being land to which this clause applies, if the consent authority is satisfied that the subdivision:

a) will not result in an increase in the number of lots, and

b) will not result in an increase in the number of dwellings that may be erected on any of the lots, and

c) will not result in lots that are inconsistent with the uses for which the existing allotments were originally created.

(4) In determining whether to grant development consent for the subdivision of land under this clause, the consent authority must consider the following:

a) whether or not the subdivision will maintain or improve the environmental values and agricultural viability of the land,

b) potential impact on the natural and physical constraints affecting the land, taking into account the long-term maintenance, management and protection of any lots,

c) compatibility with existing or potential land uses and measures that are deemed necessary to avoid or minimise any potential impact.

(5) This clause does not apply in relation to a subdivision under the Community Land Development Act 1989, the Strata Schemes (Freehold Development) Act 1973 or the Strata Schemes (Leasehold Development) Act 1986.

4.2F Exceptions to minimum subdivision lot sizes for resulting lots

(1) The objectives of this clause are as follows:

(a) to provide for the subdivision of lots that are within more than one zone but cannot be subdivided under clause 4.1,

(b) to ensure that the subdivision occurs in a manner that promotes suitable land uses and development.

(2) This clause applies to each lot (an "original lot") that may contain land within any zone.

(3) Despite clause 4.1, development consent may be granted to subdivide an original lot to create other lots (the "resulting lots") if:

(a) one of the resulting lots will contain all of the land within a rural or environmental zone, and:

(i) an existing dwelling, or

(ii) Land within any other zone that has an area that is not less than the minimum size shown on the Lot Size Map in relation to that land, and

(b) all other resulting lots will contain land that has an area that is not less than the minimum size shown on the Lot Size Map in relation to that land

Council has advised that it has adapted the proposed clauses from a number of similar clauses from other notified comprehensive LEPs. A final clause will need to be drafted by Parliamentary Counsel.

It is considered that the explanation of provisions provided is adequate. However, it is considered that Council should be required to include in its proposed clause 4.2F matters to be considered when determining subdivisions under the clause to prevent it being misused and to assist in achieving the objectives of the clause.

## Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? No

<ul> <li>b) S.117 directions identified</li> <li>* May need the Director Get</li> </ul>	-	<ul> <li>1.1 Business and Industrial Zones</li> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> </ul>
		4.3 Flood Prone Land 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements
Is the Director General's	agreement required? No	0
c) Consistent with Standard	Instrument (LEPs) Orde	er 2006 : <b>Yes</b>
d) Which SEPPs have the F	RPA identified?	SEPP No 4—Development Without Consent and Miscellaneous Exempt and Complying Development SEPP No 14—Coastal Wetlands SEPP No 44—Koala Habitat Protection SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP No 71—Coastal Protection SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008
e) List any other matters that need to be considered :	Council has requested	d delegation to make the Local Environmental Plan.
Have inconsistencies with it	ems a), b) and d) being	adequately justified? Yes
If No, explain :	SECTION 117 Direction	ons
		NDUSTRIAL ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning ect land within an existing or proposed business or industrial zone.
	The proposal is cons	idered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.
	within an existing or	This Direction apples to the planning proposal as it will affect land proposed rural zone. idered to be INCONSISTENT with this direction as it

may increase the potential for development of rural land by enabling the subdivision of land with a split zone involving land zoned RU1 Primary Production or RU2 Rural Landscape and through allowing boundary adjustments below the minimum lot size.

RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary can be satisfied that the inconsistency is of minor significance and justifiable on the basis that it provides for the subdivision of land on a limited number of sites and that any subdivision must be in accordance with the objectives of the zone and the requirements of the clauses. The clauses are aimed at achieving better rural outcomes, however Council should clarify matters to be considered when determining applications under the clause to prevent it being misused, as included in other similar clauses in other LEP's.

1.5 RURAL LANDS: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an existing or proposed rural or environmental protection zone and affect the minimum lot size.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction as it is generally consistent with the Rural Planning and Rural Subdivision Principles in the SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008.

2.1 ENVIRONMENT PROTECTION ZONES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect land within an environment protection zone or land otherwise identified for environment protection purposes.

The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this direction as it may increase the density of development on land that is currently zoned E3 Environmental Management through allowing greater subdivision potential. However, this additional flexibility in subdivision is aimed at achieving better land management outcomes. The inconsistency is considered to be minor, however Council should clarify matters to be considered when determining applications under the clause to prevent it being misused.

2.2 COASTAL PROTECTION: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the subject land is within the coastal zone.

The proposal is considered to be INCONSISTENT with this direction as it may increase the density of development on land that is within the Coastal Zone. However, this inconsistency is of minor significance and justifiable on the basis the provisions of the planning proposal will not contradict or hinder the application of the coastal planning provisions contained in the NSW Coastal Policy.

4.1 ACID SULPHATE SOILS: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect land having a probability of containing acid sulphate soils, as shown on the Acid Sulphate Soils Planning Maps held by the Department of Planning.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

4.3 FLOOD PRONE LAND: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will create a provision that affects flood prone land. The planning proposal states that the BVLEP 2013 contains a provision that specifically deals with flood planning issues. It is noted that the planning proposal does not affect the application of this provision or zones/permissibility.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

4.4 PLANNING FOR BUSHFIRE PROTECTION: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as it will affect/is in close proximity to land mapped as bushfire prone land. At this stage of the Planning Proposal, it is neither consistent nor inconsistent with the Direction, as the Direction requires consultation with the Rural Fire Service post Gateway determination and prior to exhibition.

RECOMMENDATION: The Secretary will need to be satisfied that the requirements of the Direction have been met. A condition should be included in the Gateway determination that consultation should be undertaken with the Rural Fire Service in accordance with the Direction.

5.1 IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL STRATEGIES: This Direction applies to the planning proposal as the South Coast Regional Strategy applies to the land.

The proposal is considered to be CONSISTENT with this direction.

6.1 APPROVAL AND REFERRAL REQUIREMENTS: This Direction applies to the planning proposal.

This planning proposal is CONSISTENT with this Direction as it does not introduce concurrence, consultation or referral requirements or identify development as designated development.

State Environmental Planning Policies:

The planning proposal states that it is consistent with all applicable SEPPs. In particular the following SEPPs are considered to be particularly relevant to the planning proposal.

- SEPP (Exempt and Complying Development Codes) 2008 - the proposal states that it does not contradict or hinder the application of the provisions contained within the SEPP relating to certain boundary adjustments that are allowed as exempt development as long as this does not result in any lots being created below the applicable minimum lot size. It is noted that the proposal is more permissive than the boundary adjustments allowed under the SEPP.

- SEPP (Rural Lands) 2008 - the proposal states that it is consistent with the principles of the SEPP and will directly assist in the facilitation of the orderly and economic use of rural lands for rural and related purposes.

The views expressed in the planning proposal concerning consistency with the applicable SEPPs are supported for the reasons provided.

#### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? No
Comment : Maps are not required.

#### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment : The planning proposal is proposed to be exhibited for 14 days, as the planning proposal is defined as a low impact matter.

#### **Additional Director General's requirements**

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment : The planning proposal addresses all 6 parts of a planning proposal required under the Department's guide to preparing planning proposals.

#### **Proposal Assessment**

#### **Principal LEP:**

#### Due Date : August 2013

Comments in relation to Principal	The planning proposal seeks to insert two new provisions in the BVLEP 2013 similar to provisions currently included in a number of other council comprehensive standard
LEP :	instrument LEPs.

## **Assessment Criteria**

Need for planningThe planning proposal is needed to facilitate amendment of the BVLEP 2013 to provideproposal :flexibility to applicants seeking a boundary adjustment where the resulting lots are smaller<br/>than the specified minimum lot size. This will complement provisions relating to boundary<br/>adjustments permitted as exempt development currently available under the SEPP<br/>(Exempt and complying Development Codes) 2008.

The proposed split zone clause is needed to allow subdivision and development of urban zoned land having a split zoning (with rural/environmental) which is not currently possible under the existing BVLEP 2013. This will potentially facilitate the development of land and allow better development outcomes including the improved management of environmental land.

Consistency with<br/>strategic planning<br/>framework :The planning proposal is consistent with the objectives and actions of the South Coast<br/>Regional Strategy as it provides for more flexibility to provide for housing and settlement<br/>strategies in areas where there are split zones, and in making boundary adjustments<br/>where the residual lot is below the minimum lot size. The proposal is also consistent with<br/>Council's community strategic plan, applicable SEPPs and s117 Directions, or any<br/>inconsistency is considered to be of minor significance.Environmental social<br/>economic impacts :The planning proposal states that it is not expected to result in any adverse effect on<br/>threatened species, populations or ecological communities or their habitats. It states that

the proposal will have positive social and economic affects by providing for greater flexibility in the subdivision of rural land and creating opportunities for the more efficient use of land. It is considered that the proposal will provide positive environmental outcome by enabling greater flexibility for boundary adjustments and subdivision design, and will allow for the adjustment of lots to facilitate rural production.

## **Assessment Process**

Proposal type :	Routine		Community Consultation Period :	14 Days
Timeframe to make LEP :	18 months		Delegation :	RPA
Public Authority Consultation - 56(2) (d) :	NSW Rural Fire Servi	ice		
Is Public Hearing by the	PAC required?	No		
(2)(a) Should the matter	r proceed ?	Yes		
If no, provide reasons :				
Resubmission - s56(2)(I	b) : <b>No</b>			
If Yes, reasons :				

Identify any additional studies, if required.

If Other, provide reasons :

## No studies are required.

Identify any internal consultations, if required :

## No internal consultation required

Is the provision and funding of state infrastructure relevant to this plan? No

If Yes, reasons :

## Documents

Document File Name	DocumentType Name	ls Public
Evaluation criteria for delegation of plan making	Proposal	No
functions.pdf		
Signed Cover Letter.pdf	Proposal Covering Letter	Yes
Planning Proposal Boundary Adjustments and Split	Proposal	Yes
Zones_Sep.pdf		

# Planning Team Recommendation

Preparation of the planning proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions

O. TTY UNCOUDING.	S.117	directions:
-------------------	-------	-------------

S.117 directions:	<ul> <li>1.1 Business and Industrial Zones</li> <li>1.2 Rural Zones</li> <li>1.5 Rural Lands</li> <li>2.1 Environment Protection Zones</li> <li>2.2 Coastal Protection</li> <li>4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils</li> <li>4.3 Flood Prone Land</li> <li>4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection</li> <li>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies</li> <li>6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements</li> </ul>
Additional Information	It is RECOMMENDED that the General Manager, as delegate of the Minister for Planning, determine under section 56(2) of the EP&A Act that an amendment to the Bega Valley Local Environmental Plan 2013 to enable boundary adjustments where the resulting lots are smaller than the minimum lot size, and to provide for the subdivision of land with a split zone should proceed subject to the following conditions:
	1. To ensure that the objectives of the clause are achieved, Council is to include within proposed clause 4.2F Exceptions to minimum subdivision lot sizes for resulting lots those matters to be considered by the consent authority in determining whether to grant development consent for the subdivision of land under that clause.
	2.Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:
	(a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for 14 days; and (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of 'A guide to preparing local environmental plans (Planning and Infrastructure, 2013)'.
	3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:

NSW Rural Fire Service (In accordance with s117 Direction 4.4 prior to exhibition)

Boundary Adjustments	s and split zones
	The NSW Rural Fire Service (RFS) is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material. The RFS is to be given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal, or to indicate that they will require additional time to comment on the proposal. The RFS may request additional information or additional matters to be addressed in the planning proposal.
	4. No public hearing is required to be held into the matter under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example in response to a submission or if reclassifying land).
	5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 18 months from the week following the date of the Gateway determination.
	6. Council be authorised to use the Minister's plan making functions under sections 59(2),(3)&(4) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979.
	7. SECTION 117 DIRECTIONS - It is recommended that:
	(a) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with s117 Directions 1.1 Business and Industrial Zones, 1.5 Rural Lands, 4.3 Flood Prone Land, 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies and 6.1 Approval and Referral Requirements;
	(b) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal will be consistent with s117 Direction 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection, when Council has consulted with the Rural Fire Service prior to undertaking community consultation;
	(c) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the inconsistency of the planning proposal with s117 Directions 1.2 Rural Zones, 2.1 Environment Protection Zones, 2.2 Coastal Protection, and 4.1 Acid Sulphate Soils, are of minor significance.
	(d) The Secretary's delegate can be satisfied that the planning proposal is consistent with all other relevant s117 Directions or any inconsistency is of minor significance; and
	(e) No further consultation or referral is required in relation to s117 Directions while the planning proposal remains in its current form.
	8. The planning proposal is considered to be consistent with all relevant SEPPs.
Supporting Reasons :	The planning proposal will provide greater flexibility for Council is responding to requests for boundary adjustment and subdivision in areas with split zones.
Signature:	Un Term
Printed Name:	Graham Towers Date: 22/9/15
	ENDORSED.

Whitworth

General Manager

22/9/15